

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804

National Indicator Number:

NI17

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | This has always been difficult to assess because of the impact of local events/media reporting |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|---|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

**Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council**

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

**Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804**

National Indicator Number:

NI 18

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|--|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting</p> <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>Re-conviction rate more appropriate than re-offending but definition not clear</p> <p>Excludes offenders with custodial sentence of less than 12 months – does this also apply to new conviction?</p> <p>What about those with shorter sentences – scope for intervention/prevention</p> |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If YES</p> <p>a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator?</p> <p>b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?</p> | Reported at CDRP level |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

**Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council**

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

**Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804**

National Indicator Number:

NI 20

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | We would class this element under serious violent crime and see this as only one part of NI 15 |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | This only covers actual bodily harm and we may wish to use this for other than that – using NI 15 |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | We would see this and an overlap with the work we |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| | have to do on serious violent crime |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Disability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | This is already collected by Police – but some information is missing |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804

National Indicator Number:

NI 21

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | This is very vague – should cover priorities at Safer Neighbourhood area |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | This could be a 'catch-all' so we would want to use this as general heading for a number of local priorities |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | Very vague and difficult to measure |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Disability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | This is already collected by some agencies – but some information is missing |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804

National Indicator Number:

NI 24

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | Definition of ASB? Who are the victims? General public? Person who calls to complain? How are you going to survey them? Vexatious complaints e.g. low tolerance of young people esp. rural/ urban |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | Not clear how police/ council response will be differentiated. Will it be part of the place survey – if so do you need both? Duplication 17/21? |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | Not defined – should be at CDRP level |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| Too vague – percentage of what? Not clear how you would establish baseline. How many victims are there? How would you measure percentage satisfied? | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804

National Indicator Number:

NI 25

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | This is not an outcome but a process and does not take us any further forward on ASB |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | Yet another survey required – does not deal with the issues raised – could be part of NI 21 Collection maybe very difficult for BME communities – asking callers to identify their ethnicity or during survey? |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Disability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | This is already collected by some agencies – but some information is missing |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804

National Indicator Number:

NI 27

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|--|--|
| <p>1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear?</p> | <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>This is not an outcome but a process and does not take us any further forward on ASB</p> |
| <p>2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?</p> | <p>Yet another survey required and does not deal with issues raised</p> |
| <p>3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice?</p> | <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?</p> | <p>Too vague and overlaps with other better defined</p> |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| | indicators on ASB |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | Should be at Safer Neighbourhood level |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Disability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804

National Indicator Number:

NI 32

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | Encouraging women to report repeat incidents of domestic violence is to be encouraged – so although aim is to reduce repeat victimisation, under-reporting is also a significant problem. |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | See problems above re perverse message – need to look at outcome not repeat incidence |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | Not defined – should be at CDRP level if at all |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804

National Indicator Number:

NI 34

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | This is so small a number that measuring monthly per 1,000 pop would not produce anything useful proportionate to the measurement |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in | This is so small a number that measuring monthly |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| practice? | per 1,000 pop would not produce anything useful proportionate to the measurement |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

**Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council**

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

**Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804**

National Indicator Number:

NI 35

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | Not clear is this is to be rolled out everywhere or confined to preventing extremism pathfinders |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | Concern that refers to Muslim communities. Does it need to be broadened to other groups? Measure of cohesion would be better |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | Not defined – should be at CDRP level if at all |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

**Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council**

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

**Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804**

National Indicator Number:

NI 36

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | This is very complex and not sure I understand how this will be measured Not clear is this is to be rolled out everywhere or confined to preventing extremism pathfinders |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | Who is to do these assessments of crowded spaces – it could increase the fear of crime. Is this proportionate to the risk involved? Concern that refers to Muslim communities. Does it need to be broadened to other groups? Measure of cohesion would be better |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | Not sure what will be gained by the assessment – unless there is any resources to do anything about the findings. Not sure what it is going to be used for, how crowded places will be defined – council responsibility for private sector e.g. pubs/ clubs limited. What about rail/ road network |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804

National Indicator Number:

NI 38

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | District level quarterly reporting |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804

National Indicator Number:

NI 39

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | By hospital |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | Assume already gathered |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804

National Indicator Number:

NI 40

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|---|
| practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | Quarterly reporting |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | Already captured by most agencies |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| It would be helpful to include a wider range of drugs eg cocaine, cannabis, amphetamines. Also a similar measure for alcohol treatment | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

**Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council**

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

**Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Julie Sullivan 01926 746804**

National Indicator Number:

NI 41

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | This overlaps with NI 17 and NI27 (ASB)but does not effectively pick up alcohol- |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| | related violence and does not link with hospital admissions for alcohol harm |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

47

Killed and Seriously Injured – All Ages

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| <p>Road casualty numbers tend to be volatile but the indicator assumes a year on year reduction and as such the formula will deliver a minus percentage in the event of an increase in the number of casualties. It would be better to restructure the formula to deliver "Percentage Change", either positive or negative, compared to the previous year as it is impossible to guarantee continuing reductions in casualty numbers. In order to "smooth" the figures and introduce consistency in reporting it would be a good idea to adopt 3 years rolling averages as for NI 4B.</p> | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

48

Killed and Seriously Injured – Children

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| <p>The adoption of a 3 year rolling average is welcomed. However, the formula will deliver a minus percentage in the event of an increase in the average number of casualties. It would be better to define the indicators as “percentage change” and restructure the formula thus:- $(G - a) / G * 100$. The worked example would deliver -13.1% which can be seen as an obvious improvement</p> | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
David MacNiven 01926 742468

National Indicator Number:

NI51

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | The interim measure just quantifies a self-assessment of progress, which doesn't seem to be a particularly reliable way of measuring the benefits of a service. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?</p> | |
| <p>4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level?</p> | <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it)</p> | |
| <p>5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?</p> | <p>Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Gender <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Religion <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Age <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Disability <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify)</p> |
| <p>a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?</p> | |
| <p>6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.</p> | |
| | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

**Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council**

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

**Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
David MacNiven 01926 742468**

National Indicator Number:

NI54

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | The definition as it stands doesn't yet comment on how this survey will be conducted |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level?</p> | <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it)</p> | |
| <p>5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?</p> | <p>Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Gender <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Religion <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Age <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Disability <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify)</p> |
| <p>a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?</p> | |
| <p>6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.</p> | |
| | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
David MacNiven 01926 742468

National Indicator Number:

NI58

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
David MacNiven 01926 742468

National Indicator Number:

NI61

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | The worked example appears to be upside down from the formula definition, so this needs clarifying. |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
David MacNiven 01926 742468

National Indicator Number:

NI68

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | They cannot specify what is good and what is bad performance, so just say that a "median" value should be what all authorities should aim for. We will therefore remain in a position where if we differ from other authorities, we are considered "bad" rather than anyone considering the fact that we might be OK |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
David MacNiven 01926 742468

National Indicator Number:

NI69

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | We could argue that a rise in the incidence of bullying would actually be a good thing, as it indicates better awareness and the fact that children feel able to tell people if it is happening. A perverse incentive might occur where children are encouraged not to report bullying on the survey. The dataset therefore needs to acknowledge this in the "good performance" section. |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | Is TellUs a reliable dataset at local level? |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617

National Indicator Number:

NI 125

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>Clarification is required on whether the 12 month period relates to the date of discharge or the date that the three months is complete.</p> <p>Eg. Will periods of intermediate care completed in 08-09 be counted in that year despite the fact that the discharge was in the previous 12 month period.</p> <p>Clarification required as to how deaths within 3 months will be treated.</p> |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>If YES</p> <p>a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator?</p> <p>b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?</p> | <p>Prediction of going home is difficult to validate and therefore open to abuse. For example stretching the definition to suggest that, the person is due to go home until such time as there is a completed permanent residential contact in place.</p> <p>Greater clarity would be possible if the indicator measured 3 months from the end of the period of intermediate care.</p> |
| <p>3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice?</p> | <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?</p> | <p>To accurately report on this indicator requires combining information currently held on NHS systems (hospital admission and discharge) with information held by Social Care (Admission and exit from Intermediate care). This information is currently not shared routinely across the two organisations and detailed information protocols and systems would need to be put in place.</p> |
| <p>4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it)</p> | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?</p> | <p>Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Gender <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Religion <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Age <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Disability <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify)</p> |
| <p>a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?</p> | |
| <p>6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.</p> | |
| | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617

National Indicator Number:

NI 127

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | The definition talks about Social Care Users and then states that the question will be added to the Home Care Users Experience Questionnaire. Is the indicator designed to look at all Social Care or Homecare? |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | If Direct Payments and Individualised care is believed to be the most positive experience for service users, and the survey is only for Homecare users, the results are likely to be suppressed by the exclusion of DP users. |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

**Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council**

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

**Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617**

National Indicator Number:

NI 128

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|--|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting</p> <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>Whilst most people would have a working knowledge of the word dignity, inclusion of the phrase "Human Rights" relies on people specifically understanding their human rights and how they are potentially affected by delivery of the service.</p> |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If YES</p> <p>a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator?</p> <p>b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?</p> | <p>The term modesty is in appropriate in the context of this indicator. Whilst it is reasonable for people to comment whether or not their dignity is not diminished, surely receiving personal care</p> |

Table 1

| | |
|---|---|
| | from a homecarer could be construed by most to compromise their modesty. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Please specify) <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617

National Indicator Number:

NI 130

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | Clarification is required as to whether or not the indicator includes service users receiving one off Direct Payments or only those in receipt of ongoing payments. |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | If the indicator includes one off Direct Payments, there is an incentive to provide an increasing number of small payments for services such as OT equipment. This does not necessarily advance the agenda for self directed care. |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617

National Indicator Number:

NI 131

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | Is this the same as the current D41 Delayed Transfers of Care - please clarify. |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617

National Indicator Number:

NI 132

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | The definition makes reference to "new clients", does this mean both service users and carers? |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | If the assessment is never fully put in place it is not registered as a negative on this indicator. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617

National Indicator Number:

NI 133

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | The definition makes reference to "new clients", does this mean both service users and carers? |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | If the package is never fully put in place it is not registered as a negative on this indicator. This indicator could encourage organisations to concentrate on those service users included in the indicator rather than those who are in greatest need. |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | This indicator does not take account of the quality of the care put in place. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617

National Indicator Number:

NI 135

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | Further clarification of what constitutes sort of advice is required to count in this indicator |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | By including advice as a service the argument could be made that any advice given however little is a positive contribution to this indicator. In effect if a small amount of advice is given at the time of assessment or review would mean that in reality there is no separate |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p>service for the carer merely an assessment or review.</p> <p>Alternatively sending out a single leaflet once a year would be counted, this does not appear to drive forward real improvements in Carers services.</p> |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | There is no standardisation included although there is information available in the census to identify the number of carers in each Local Authority area.. |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?</p> | |
| <p>6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.</p> | |
| | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617

National Indicator Number:

NI 136

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | Further clarification of what constitutes advice is required. |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | By including advice as a service the argument could be made that any advice given however little is a positive contribution to this indicator. In effect if a small amount of advice is given at the time of assessment or review would mean that in reality there is no separate |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | service for the carer merely an assessment or review. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | There is no standardisation included although there is information available in the census to identify the number of carers in each Local Authority area.. |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617

National Indicator Number:

NI 136

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | There is already concern about the quality of information collected through the GFS1 return. Councils have not yet been able to write into their contracts/agreements the requirement for organisations to return the information requested |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>and therefore not all organisation return accurate data.</p> <p>There are issues around collecting information in relation to services that provide drop in or telephone line services. It is not possible to identify the number of individuals receiving these services.</p> <p>The amount of duplication possible undermines the integrity of this indicators.</p> <p>An incentive would be produced to provide an increased number of small grants to an increased number of organisations in order to secure higher numbers despite the fact that this may not be the most effective intervention.</p> |
| <p>3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice?</p> | <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?</p> | <p>Double counting will be inevitable</p> |
| <p>4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it)</p> | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?</p> | <p>Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Gender <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Religion <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Age <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Disability <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify)</p> |
| <p>a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?</p> | |
| <p>6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.</p> | |
| | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617

National Indicator Number:

NI 145

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | Further clarity required around the concept of "being known to CASSRS", especially in relation to Learning Disability clients accessing Supporting People services. |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | There is an incentive to have fewer known to CSSR to reduce the indicator and improve performance. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Helen Bailey 01926 745617

National Indicator Number:

NI 146

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | Please explain the reference to adults aged 18-69, is this a typing error? Further clarity required around the concept of "being known to CASSRS". Does the employment in question need to be paid employment? |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | There is an incentive to have fewer known to CSSR to reduce the indicator and improve performance. |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

151

Overall Employment Rate

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (See Section 6) |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? No – results are survey based and sample size will not permit breakdown by equalities strands | Ethnicity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| Results at District level can only be considered as broad estimates. Sample sizes in some cases are less than 200 with associated 95% confidence intervals of plus or minus 7 percentage points. | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

152

Working Age People on Out of Work Benefits

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>Unclear regarding exactly which benefits are included. (No mention of bereavement, disabled or housing benefit). Would be helpful to specify source as DWP Website or NOMIS. DWP/NOMIS do not publish the working age population denominators which will make it difficult to calculate annual rates. Should specify calendar year not financial year.</p> |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If YES</p> <p>a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator?</p> <p>b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?</p> | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>(See 1 – it will work if more clearly defined)</p> |
| <p>If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?</p> | |
| <p>4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>(See Section 6)</p> |
| <p>a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it)</p> | |
| <p>5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?</p> <p>No – results are survey based and sample size will not permit breakdown by equalities strands</p> | <p>Ethnicity <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Gender <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Religion <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Disability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify)</p> |
| <p>a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?</p> | |
| <p>6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.</p> | |
| | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

153

Working Age People Claiming Out of Work Benefits in Worst Performing Neighbourhoods

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | Unclear regarding exactly which benefits are included. (No mention of bereavement, disabled or housing benefit). Would be helpful to specify source as DWP Website or NOMIS. DWP/NOMIS do not publish the working age population denominators which will make it difficult to calculate annual rates. Should specify calendar year not financial year. |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|---|
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes No ✓ |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | Will not be very helpful for Warwickshire as only half a dozen SOAs have a workless rate of more than 25% and these are spread across the County making it difficult to target any interventions. Might be more helpful to select worst performing District for County Councils or use a measure relative to each local area – not an absolute cut-off. |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes ✓ No ☐ |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity ☒ Gender ☒ Religion ☐ Age ☒ Sexual orientation ☐ Disability ☒ Other (Please specify) ☐ |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Oscar Yau, 01926 412358

National Indicator Number:

154

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>The definition of dwelling needs to be expanded as self-contain unit of accomodation covers a large range of dwelling types. Examples are those in communal establishments and care homes, holiday homes, non permanent dwellings such as those in caravan sites and mobile dwellings e.g. travellers' caravans and houseboats etc. Which types should be included or excluded is a complex issue and clear and precise guidance is needed. Otherwise there would be inconsistencies among 354 LAs as to what should be counted. A simple solution might be to include all permanent and</p> |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p>non-permanent accommodation units, mobile homes (except for holidays purposes) and all communal establishment (except university halls) provided they are self-contained. Another way round is to list all possible complex cases as examples and indicate how each should be treated as what.</p> |
| <p>2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?</p> | <p>The timeliness of the data availability (Collection Interval) should not vary according to the source. The source should not be based on the CLG or the Regional Assembly joint Return. The base data should come directly from each LA and should be consistent with what they quoted in their RSS Annual Monitoring Reports. This also overcomes the timeliness problem.</p> |
| <p>3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice?</p> | <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?</p> | <p>There are different approaches or methods that each LA derives their figures from (e.g. Council tax records or results from site survey) which will yield different answers and qualities. If no guidance is given, inconsistencies in the approaches and varying in qualities will occur.</p> |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Oscar Yau, 01926 412358

National Indicator Number:

155

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>More details are needed for the definition of affording housing because based on current definition, it is not clear how or in what situation a rented dwelling not owned by a LA or RSL could be regarded as social-rented housing. Also there is no mention of discounted sales homes and shared equity homes and how to determine these. For example, what is meant by low cost for a low cost home and is it a discounted sale i.e. an intermediate affordable. Furthermore, are self-contained private units within a building catered specifically for old people, irrespective whether care is provided or not, is</p> |

Table 1

| | |
|--|---|
| | regarded as affordable housing? Consider using examples cases as guidance. |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | It is not easy and time consuming for LAs to obtain accurately the numbers of dwellings involved (see 3 below). Lack of clear definition and reliance of LAs to interpret what is regarded as affordable means there would be inconsistency in the approach taken by the LAs and hence the data quality. Firm and clear guidance should be given to LA as to how to derive for the figure. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | The figure derived by the LAs is unlikely to be accurately as sometimes the builders or developers and the building control inspectors do not know precisely the tenure of the eventual owner or the tenure could change any time between start of construction to owner occupation. Lack of clear definition and reliance of LAs to interpret what is regarded as affordable means there would be inconsistency in the approach taken by the LAs and hence the data quality. |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

157

Planning Applications

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| The definition of this indicator has been carried over from BV 109a and as such should not present any difficulties. | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

166

Average Earnings of Employees in the Area

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

167

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>Although the technical definition of the indicator is clear overall, there are some specific points on which WCC seek clarification;</p> <p>We are not clear which methodology Warwickshire County Council would be required to use. We currently carry out internal monitoring using methodology 2, and therefore we would wish to continue doing this. This information is reported via our local indicators included in the LTP.</p> <p>We agree with the proposed peak period monitoring time frame (7.30-9.30am), although</p> |

Table 1

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>this timeframe is wider than the one currently used (8am-9am).</p> <p>What data source will be provided to local authorities to calculate journey time? If there was a significant difference between locally collected data and nationally provided data would there be scope to query the national data set?</p> |
| <p>2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences?</p> | <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?</p> | |
| <p>3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?</p> | |
| <p>4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it)</p> | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?</p> | <p>Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Gender <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Religion <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Age <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Disability <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify)</p> |
| <p>a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?</p> | <p>it would not be feasible to collect the data for the different equalities strands.</p> |
| <p>6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.</p> | |
| | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

168

Principal Roads where Maintenance should be Considered

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

169

Non-Principal Roads where Maintenance should be Considered

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|--|---|
| <p>1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | |
| <p>2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences?</p> | <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?</p> | |
| <p>3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in</p> | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

**Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council**

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

**Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Oscar Yau, 01926 412358**

National Indicator Number:

170

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | Not clear the land above old mines and land filled sites should be regarded as derelict or not especially if the land above returns to open countryside use. More details should be provided. |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | The indicator as it is biased against LAs which inherit a large area of land that were previously used as mines or other nasty industrial developments such as chemical/gas plants etc. Since the 3 elements in the numerator are not weighted, the proportion of previously |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | developed land that could be easily re-use is very small for such LAs and hence their scope for improvement is very little compares against other LAs which are in the opposite or more favourable situation. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |

6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.

Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk.
Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

175

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>Although the technical definition is clear WCC seek clarification on a couple of issues:</p> <p>The purpose of the indicator is to monitor access to core services and facilities. We currently monitor accessibility (LTP1, mandatory DfT indicator) via the LTP, we have met this target ahead of schedule and are seeking to redefine the target. We are yet to get the new target approved by DfT/GOWM. However, it is likely that the new target will relate to the % of settlements which are provided with the appropriate level of essential transport links.</p> |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | The exact indicator definition is in the process of being refined and developed. Is it likely that the new indicator will be appropriate for purpose of a national indicator? |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?</p> | <p>Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Gender <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Religion <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Age <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Disability <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify)</p> |
| <p>a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?</p> | <p>It is not feasible/necessary to collect the information for the different equalities strands. It may be useful to look at the accessibility levels in the context of deprivation levels.</p> |
| <p>6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.</p> | |
| | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

176

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>The technical definition is clear, however, WCC seek clarification on a couple of points;</p> <p>WCC already monitor accessibility to employment sites(SOA's with 500+ employment opportunities) via the Accessibility Strategy contained within LTP2, it is this information that the LTP base data is based upon. Can we continue to monitor this indicator ourselves or are we required to use DfT provided core indicators? We have not used the core indicator data for LTP purposes.</p> |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |

Table 1

| | |
|--|---|
| consequences? | |
| <p>If YES</p> <p>a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator?</p> <p>b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?</p> | <p>By just measuring accessibility to SOAs with 500+ jobs, places that offer significant places of employment (e.g 350 jobs in a rural area) would not be included even though it may provide valuable employment opportunities to local communities.</p> |
| <p>3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?</p> | |
| <p>4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it)</p> | |
| <p>5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?</p> | <p>Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Gender <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Religion <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Disability <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other (Please specify) <input type="checkbox"/></p> |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?</p> | <p>The purpose of the indicator is to measure accessibility to employment opportunities for people of working age, therefore the data regarding age is already collected. we do not feel that collecting information on the other strands would be of use, it would be difficult to collect data of disability and sexual orientation.</p> |
| <p>6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.</p> | |
| | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

177

Local Bus Passenger Journeys

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | The definition relies on data collection from sources outside the direct control of the reporting authority. The accuracy of data cannot be guaranteed. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | Operators may not be recording all eligible passenger journeys. |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

178

Bus Services Running on Time

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | Authority data collection only provides a sample of journeys it will not take into account staff shortages/breakdowns and it should not be assumed that poor performance is as a result of congestion. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | See above.. |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

185

CO2 Reduction from Local Authority Operations

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|--|---|
| <p>1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear?</p> | <p>Yes No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>What is direct? What is indirect? Need definitions. Does it include landfill? What level to report down to. Does it include supply chain?</p> |
| <p>2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences?</p> | <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?</p> | |
| <p>3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?</p> | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:


188

Adapting to Climate Change

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | WCC may be expected to support Districts. Budget not in place if County Council has to get involved. At a District level the indicator may be poorly reported |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in | Split the levels required for reporting into simpler steps. |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| practice? | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes No  |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | County Council level. Information not already gathered therefore would need budget |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| Districts unlikely to have capacity to respond. County public services likely to provide responses - Fire and Rescue, Emergency Planner. We would need a local Climate Impact Profile. | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

191

Residual Household Waste/Head

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| This is not an existing indicator as stated. Residual waste specification excludes materials sent for re-use, recycling or composting hence this indicator is subtly different to BV 84a. | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

192

Recycling and Composting

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| As BV 82a and 82G. | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

193

Landfill

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | |

Table 1

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| As BV 82d . | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

194

Air Quality

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | Bio fuel used in vehicles could cause increase in Nox emissions up to 20% with current bio diesel |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | Operators may not be recording all eligible passenger journeys. |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |
| 5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)? | Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify) |
| a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it? | |
| 6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions. | |
| | |
| Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU. | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

194

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p> | <p>Transport element - we do not currently have the data relating to the transport element of this indicator. It may be possible to collect some of the data in future. Obtaining information on commuter mileage/fuel mix used will be difficult. It may prove difficult to collect information for the breadth of different transport providers e.g. ride on lawnmowers and delivery vans! Do outsourced buses include the provision of essential bus services which are supported by the County Council?</p> <p>The Local Transport Plan requires us to monitor Air Quality Management</p> |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| | Areas (AQMA's) in reallion to traffic. Information is relating to vehicle numbers in the AQMA are reported. |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | Collecting the data on commuter trips for staff may result in an over estimate of car trips - the staff travel survey could be used as a guide as to the % of trips by car, but the response rate for this survey is low, and is therefore not 100% reliable. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice? | The data relating to transport may prove very difficult to collect and compile in an accurate way. |
| 4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it) | |

Table 1

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?</p> | <p>Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Gender <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Religion <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Age <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Disability <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify)</p> |
| <p>a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?</p> | |
| <p>6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.</p> | |
| | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Warwickshire County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Yes

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Andy McDarmaid 01926 418646

National Indicator Number:

198

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

| Indicator Issue | |
|---|---|
| 1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved. | |
| 2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how? | WCC currently collect data for 5-18 year olds, as the indicator only requires us to report information for 5-16 year olds it is likely that we will cease to collect the data for 16-18 year olds. |
| 3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice? | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> |

Table 1

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?</p> | |
| <p>4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level?</p> | <p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> |
| <p>a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it)</p> | |
| <p>5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?</p> | <p>Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Gender <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Religion <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Disability <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify)</p> |
| <p>a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?</p> | <p>Data is already collected by age, overall monitoring data is reported in the LTP. It is not necessary to collect the data for the other equality strands.</p> |
| <p>6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.</p> | |
| | |
| <p>Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk. Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.</p> | |