

TABLE OF EXISTING SAVED LOCAL PLAN POLICIES AND CONSIDERATION OF WHETHER ANY POLICIES SHOULD BE SAVED BEYOND THE THREE YEAR PERIOD (SEPTEMBER 2007)

THE WASTE LOCAL PLAN FOR WARWICKSHIRE

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose).	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007. YES/NO	If "YES" state how the Policy meets the criteria* in para 5.15 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained.	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
1	General Land Use	Yes	vi) The policy is necessary and does not merely repeat national policy.	The policy expresses the basic development control considerations against which proposals for all types of waste facility should be judged.	The adopted Waste Development Framework - Core Strategy	
2	Conditions and Agreements	No				The details in this policy are adequately covered by Circulars 11/95 and 05/05
3	Landfilling	Yes	vi) The policy is necessary and does not merely repeat national policy.	This policy adds additional consideration for any landfill application over and above those set in Policy 1. Without this	The adopted Waste Development Framework - Core Strategy	

				policy there would be a policy void.		
4	Land – raising	No				This policy has been superseded by national policy, which sees landfilling as the last resort in the waste hierarchy for the restoration of voids.
5	Incinerators	Yes	vi) The policy is necessary and does not merely repeat national policy.	This policy adds additional consideration for any application for an incinerator over and above those set in Policy 1. Without this policy there would be a policy void.	The adopted Waste Development Framework - Core Strategy	
6	Materials Recycling Facilities	Yes	vi) The policy is necessary and does not merely repeat national policy.	This policy adds additional consideration for any application for a materials recycling facility over and above those set in Policy 1. Without this policy there would be a policy void.	The adopted Waste Development Framework - Core Strategy	
7	Scrap Yards	No				The Policy is not used frequently and should an application be received it

						will be judged against Policies 1 and 6.
8	Transfer Stations	No				The Policy is not used frequently and should an application be received it will be judged against Policies 1 and 6.
9	Large Scale Composting	Yes	vi) The policy is necessary and does not merely repeat national policy.	This policy adds additional consideration for any application for a large scale composting facility over and above those set in Policy 1. Without this policy there would be a policy void.	The adopted Waste Development Framework - Core Strategy	
10	Household Waste Facilities	No				RSS Policy WD1 has superseded this policy
11	Other Development	No				Will be covered by Site Waste management Plans, as required by the Clean Neighbourhoods Act and ought to be included in Districts Local Development Documents
12	Segregation of Waste Streams	No				This is a District Council matter and will be

						covered by Districts Local Development Documents
13	Proposed Facilities	Yes	v) The policy is effective for any part of the authorities area where significant change in the use or development of land is envisaged and vi) The policy is necessary and does not merely repeat national policy.	This policy identifies facilities which would help to satisfy the minimum requirement to meet the County's waste strategy targets. Without it there would be a policy void and it would be increasingly difficult to meet the County's waste recycling targets.	The adopted Waste Development Framework – Core Strategy will identify the broad spatial strategy and then the adopted Site Allocations Document will identify specific sites	
14	Jees and Boons Quarry and Midland Quarry	No				The Jees and Boons site is currently mothballed. It still contains considerable mineral deposits, and is unlikely to come forward for landfilling in this plan period. There is an extant permission on the Midlands Quarry site for it's redevelopment.

* The Secretary of State who will consider whether to direct that these policies should be saved for a longer period in accordance with following criteria:

i. where appropriate, there is a clear central strategy;

ii. the policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area;

iii. the policies are in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy or spatial development strategy;

iv. the policies are in conformity with the core strategy development plan document (where the core strategy has been adopted);

v. there are effective policies for any part of the authorities area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged; and

vi. the policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy.