

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Gender			Age				
	Total	Male	Female	Under 18	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 59	60 and over
Base	2801	1207	1330	382	296	441	631	897
<b>NET: What I would like the development to incorporate / the money spent on</b>	<b>496</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>235</b>
Should build on other sites, e.g. Hinckley Road, existing Hinckley and North Warwickshire college site	381	171	166	7	11	47	93	197
	14%	14%	12%	2%	4%	11%	15%	22%
Request that different facilities be built on the site / with the money, e.g. snow dome, theatre etc	73	40	25	4	8	10	15	33
	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Supporting use of public transport/cycling/walking must be part of the development	24	14	8	2	2	4	8	6
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Request for specific things to be included as part of the development - a 'wish list'	20	11	8	7	0	2	7	4
	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%
The building must be aesthetically pleasing	13	6	7	2	2	2	4	3
	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Suggestions for alternative schemes	13	8	5	1	0	2	2	7
	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Development must be environmentally friendly, e.g. incorporate energy saving features	4	1	3	0	1	1	2	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Problems on the roads</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>127</b>
This development would lead to parking and traffic problems in the area	219	95	105	7	7	41	55	98
	8%	8%	8%	2%	2%	9%	9%	11%
Park & ride would not be an effective means to tackle traffic problems	30	11	16	1	0	2	9	17
	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%
There is a greater need to sort out the ring road / bypass issue rather than this development	23	13	9	0	0	2	6	15
	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%
This development may lead to unwanted parking charges being established	9	5	3	0	0	1	5	2
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Gender		Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Under 18	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 59	60 and over
This development would lead to increased traffic accidents	4	0	4	1	0	2	0	1
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
The development needs to address all (road) safety concerns	4	3	0	0	0	3	1	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Negative effect for the emergency services	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	1
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Development will have a negative effect on public transport	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	1
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Negative comments relating to the council and thier motives</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>110</b>
The planned development is a rush job which has not allowed for enough debate / I do not trust the council	91	44	43	0	1	15	25	45
	3%	4%	3%	0%	0%	3%	4%	5%
The planned development is motivated by financial gain above anything else, e.g. the sell off of land for house building purposes	86	40	35	2	0	24	23	31
	3%	3%	3%	1%	0%	5%	4%	3%
The council have already decided - this is a 'done deal'	46	18	21	0	1	9	13	21
	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	2%
This development is a waste of tax payers' money	39	22	15	4	5	7	4	18
	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Information needs to be presented in full and by both sides before the public decides	12	9	3	0	1	0	4	6
	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Those making this decision do not live in Nuneaton, so they won't have to live with the consequences	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Generally positive comments</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>34</b>
General positive comment, e.g. 'I think this is what Nuneaton needs', 'Go for it' etc	130	69	55	29	19	24	35	22
	5%	6%	4%	8%	6%	5%	6%	2%
This development is needed to regenerate / modernise the town	105	44	55	9	16	15	46	14
	4%	4%	4%	2%	5%	3%	7%	2%
Criticism of those opposing the development	12	9	2	1	1	3	5	1
	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Gender		Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Under 18	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 59	60 and over
<b>NET: Negative effects on the town's facilities</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>67</b>
Negative effect on library service, e.g. fear over it's future	118	38	65	2	2	12	28	66
	4%	3%	5%	1%	1%	3%	4%	7%
The development will effect St Nicholas Parish Church detrimentally	7	3	3	0	1	0	4	1
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
<b>NET: Generally negative comments</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>45</b>
General negative comment, e.g. 'Not for it', 'This is a stupid idea' etc	94	47	34	8	8	12	18	37
	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Feel that the current set up is OK/works well as it is	18	4	13	4	2	3	0	8
	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
<b>NET: Town centre distribution and overcrowding</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>52</b>
This development would inconvenience shoppers in the town / may lead to people	53	20	27	1	0	4	10	33
	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	4%
This development would lead to an overcrowding of the town centre	30	9	19	0	0	5	8	16
	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Chaos / disruption in the town centre, generally	14	2	10	0	1	2	3	7
	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
<b>NET: Crime and anti-social behaviour</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>45</b>
This development would lead to an increase of anti-social behaviour / unwanted 'gang' behaviour / intimidating students	69	21	42	0	0	7	16	43
	2%	2%	3%	0%	0%	2%	3%	5%
This development would lead to an increase in litter	5	2	2	0	1	0	2	2
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Concern that merging the two will cause trouble between the two groups of students	3	1	2	0	1	1	1	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Negative effects on the local environment</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>26</b>

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Gender		Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Under 18	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 59	60 and over
This development would have a negative effect on the 'market town' image of the area / no advantage for the town	39	10	22	2	0	1	17	15
	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%
The planned development would have a negative effect on 'green spaces'	13	6	6	0	0	0	4	8
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Concern over what will happen to existing college buildings/sites	11	5	5	2	1	1	5	2
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Feel that the 'modern building' argument is a bit of a red herring, e.g. would you knock down Oxford's colleges?	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Few or no benefits for business</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>39</b>
This development would inconvenience shoppers in the town / may lead to people	53	20	27	1	0	4	10	33
	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	4%
Only pubs and fast food outlets, which are tempting to otherwise low spending students, will benefit	8	3	4	0	0	1	2	4
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Feel that the argument that the development will generate business is a bit of a red herring	5	1	4	0	0	0	1	4
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Negative effects on or concerns about education in Nuneaton</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>
Concerned about a loss of quality education should King Edward's be merged	16	4	12	5	3	1	5	2
	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Feel that a site in town would be too small/restrictive/unable to grow should it need to	14	6	5	0	0	2	4	6
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Would like some say on the subjects taught, to whom and when	13	2	9	0	0	2	5	6
	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Feel that this scheme will damage education on offer in Nuneaton	9	3	4	3	0	2	3	1
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Personally think that other schools and colleges in the area are better/worth additional investment	8	3	5	2	0	1	1	3
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
The answer to this is simply to limit entry to local colleges	3	1	2	0	2	1	0	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Gender			Age				
	Total	Male	Female	Under 18	18 - 29	30 - 44	45 - 59	60 and over
<b>NET: Improvements in education in Nuneaton</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>
Feel that this scheme will improve education on offer in Nuneaton	22	10	11	10	1	3	7	1
	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	0%
In favour of a single, central college, generally	14	5	8	1	2	2	3	6
	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%
King Edward's will survive/continue to provide high quality education	10	1	9	2	2	3	1	2
	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
This development would reduce running costs, making better use of the resources of the two colleges	3	1	1	0	0	1	1	1
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Other one off comments, don't knows, invalid comments etc</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>48</b>
Other	66	31	30	10	6	10	14	20
	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
None / no issues	93	48	41	38	17	12	9	17
	3%	4%	3%	10%	6%	3%	1%	2%
Don't know /Cannot think of any issues	4	1	3	1	1	0	0	2
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Response not applicable / invalid	27	13	13	7	4	1	5	9
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%
<b>NET: Not Stated</b>	<b>1274</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>310</b>

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Ethnic Group		Postcode			
	White	Non-White	CV10	CV12	CV11	Other
Base	2309	127	873	167	1216	187
<b>NET: What I would like the development to incorporate / the money spent on</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>21</b>
Should build on other sites, e.g. Hinckley Road, existing Hinckley and North Warwickshire college site	341	5	136	19	191	11
	15%	4%	16%	11%	16%	6%
Request that different facilities be built on the site / with the money, e.g. snow dome, theatre etc	69	0	17	3	42	4
	3%	0%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Supporting use of public transport/cycling/walking must be part of the development	22	1	8	1	11	2
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Request for specific things to be included as part of the development - a 'wish list'	20	0	1	2	9	5
	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%
The building must be aesthetically pleasing	13	0	4	0	9	0
	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Suggestions for alternative schemes	12	0	5	2	5	1
	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Development must be environmentally friendly, e.g. incorporate energy saving features	4	0	1	0	3	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Problems on the roads</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>5</b>
This development would lead to parking and traffic problems in the area	197	2	60	12	137	4
	9%	2%	7%	7%	11%	2%
Park & ride would not be an effective means to tackle traffic problems	29	0	6	2	21	0
	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%
There is a greater need to sort out the ring road / bypass issue rather than this development	22	0	10	0	12	0
	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
This development may lead to unwanted parking charges being established	7	1	1	0	6	0
	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Ethnic Group		Postcode			
	White	Non-White	CV10	CV12	CV11	Other
This development would lead to increased traffic accidents	4	0	2	0	2	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
The development needs to address all (road) safety concerns	4	0	2	0	1	1
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Negative effect for the emergency services	2	0	1	0	2	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Development will have a negative effect on public transport	2	0	0	1	1	0
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Negative comments relating to the council and thier motives</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>3</b>
The planned development is a rush job which has not allowed for enough debate / I do not trust the council	75	3	31	5	51	0
	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	0%
The planned development is motivated by financial gain above anything else, e.g. the sell off of land for house building purposes	74	0	30	3	43	2
	3%	0%	3%	2%	4%	1%
The council have already decided - this is a 'done deal'	40	1	19	1	22	1
	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
This development is a waste of tax payers' money	37	0	9	6	22	0
	2%	0%	1%	4%	2%	0%
Information needs to be presented in full and by both sides before the public decides	11	1	2	2	6	0
	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Those making this decision do not live in Nuneaton, so they won't have to live with the consequences	3	0	1	0	3	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Generally positive comments</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>36</b>
General positive comment, e.g. 'I think this is what Nuneaton needs', 'Go for it' etc	120	6	39	11	53	18
	5%	5%	4%	7%	4%	10%
This development is needed to regenerate / modernise the town	96	6	23	6	46	17
	4%	5%	3%	4%	4%	9%
Criticism of those opposing the development	12	0	5	0	6	1
	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Ethnic Group		Postcode			
	White	Non-White	CV10	CV12	CV11	Other
<b>NET: Negative effects on the town's facilities</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>2</b>
Negative effect on library service, e.g. fear over it's future	107	2	44	2	65	2
	5%	2%	5%	1%	5%	1%
The development will effect St Nicholas Parish Church detrimentally	7	0	2	2	3	0
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Generally negative comments</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>3</b>
General negative comment, e.g. 'Not for it', 'This is a stupid idea' etc	80	1	38	4	41	1
	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	1%
Feel that the current set up is OK/works well as it is	17	0	5	2	8	2
	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
<b>NET: Town centre distribution and overcrowding</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>3</b>
This development would inconvenience shoppers in the town / may lead to people	43	0	18	3	26	1
	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%
This development would lead to an overcrowding of the town centre	27	1	10	1	18	1
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Chaos / disruption in the town centre, generally	12	1	2	0	10	1
	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
<b>NET: Crime and anti-social behaviour</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>2</b>
This development would lead to an increase of anti-social behaviour / unwanted 'gang' behaviour / intimidating students	63	1	29	5	28	2
	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%
This development would lead to an increase in litter	5	0	1	0	4	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Concern that merging the two will cause trouble between the two groups of students	3	0	1	0	1	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Negative effects on the local environment</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>2</b>

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Ethnic Group		Postcode			
	White	Non-White	CV10	CV12	CV11	Other
This development would have a negative effect on the 'market town' image of the area / no advantage for the town	35	0	14	1	19	1
	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%
The planned development would have a negative effect on 'green spaces'	11	0	5	0	8	0
	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Concern over what will happen to existing college buildings/sites	11	0	5	0	5	1
	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Feel that the 'modern building' argument is a bit of a red herring, e.g. would you knock down Oxford's colleges?	1	0	1	0	1	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Few or no benefits for business</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1</b>
This development would inconvenience shoppers in the town / may lead to people	43	0	18	3	26	1
	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Only pubs and fast food outlets, which are tempting to otherwise low spending students, will benefit	7	0	3	0	4	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Feel that the argument that the development will generate business is a bit of a red herring	5	0	1	0	4	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Negative effects on or concerns about education in Nuneaton</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>2</b>
Concerned about a loss of quality education should King Edward's be merged	14	2	4	3	5	1
	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%
Feel that a site in town would be too small/restrictive/unable to grow should it need to	11	0	4	1	8	0
	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Would like some say on the subjects taught, to whom and when	13	0	1	2	9	1
	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Feel that this scheme will damage education on offer in Nuneaton	6	0	5	1	3	0
	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Personally think that other schools and colleges in the area are better/worth additional investment	8	0	1	2	5	0
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
The answer to this is simply to limit entry to local colleges	2	1	3	0	0	0
	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Ethnic Group		Postcode			
	White	Non-White	CV10	CV12	CV11	Other
<b>NET: Improvements in education in Nuneaton</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>6</b>
Feel that this scheme will improve education on offer in Nuneaton	19	1	4	1	12	3
	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%
In favour of a single, central college, generally	14	0	6	2	4	2
	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
King Edward's will survive/continue to provide high quality education	9	0	1	1	4	3
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%
This development would reduce running costs, making better use of the resources of the two colleges	3	0	1	0	2	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Other one off comments, don't knows, invalid comments etc</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>13</b>
Other	58	5	15	4	37	4
	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%
None / no issues	79	9	25	12	35	7
	3%	7%	3%	7%	3%	4%
Don't know /Cannot think of any issues	4	0	1	0	3	0
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Response not applicable / invalid	23	0	11	0	10	2
	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
<b>NET: Not Stated</b>	<b>948</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>103</b>

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Support or oppose				
	Strongly support	Support	Neither support or oppose	Oppose	Strongly oppose
Base	1002	290	164	229	1080
<b>NET: What I would like the development to incorporate / the money spent on</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>338</b>
Should build on other sites, e.g. Hinckley Road, existing Hinckley and North Warwickshire college site	1	9	12	51	301
	0%	3%	7%	22%	28%
Request that different facilities be built on the site / with the money, e.g. snow dome, theatre etc	7	8	4	9	45
	1%	3%	2%	4%	4%
Supporting use of public transport/cycling/walking must be part of the development	9	11	1	1	2
	1%	4%	1%	0%	0%
Request for specific things to be included as part of the development - a 'wish list'	10	3	6	0	1
	1%	1%	4%	0%	0%
The building must be aesthetically pleasing	2	5	2	1	2
	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%
Suggestions for alternative schemes	1	2	1	3	6
	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Development must be environmentally friendly, e.g. incorporate energy saving features	0	3	1	0	0
	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Problems on the roads</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>182</b>
This development would lead to parking and traffic problems in the area	13	15	14	31	146
	1%	5%	9%	14%	14%
Park & ride would not be an effective means to tackle traffic problems	0	1	2	3	24
	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%
There is a greater need to sort out the ring road / bypass issue rather than this development	0	1	1	3	18
	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%
This development may lead to unwanted parking charges being established	0	0	0	0	9
	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Support or oppose				
	Strongly support	Support	Neither support or oppose	Oppose	Strongly oppose
This development would lead to increased traffic accidents	0	0	0	2	2
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
The development needs to address all (road) safety concerns	2	0	1	1	0
	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Negative effect for the emergency services	0	0	0	1	2
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Development will have a negative effect on public transport	0	0	0	1	1
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Negative comments relating to the council and thier motives</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>194</b>
The planned development is a rush job which has not allowed for enough debate / I do not trust the council	6	3	5	1	74
	1%	1%	3%	0%	7%
The planned development is motivated by financial gain above anything else, e.g. the sell off of land for house building purposes	2	2	4	10	67
	0%	1%	2%	4%	6%
The council have already decided - this is a 'done deal'	1	0	0	2	43
	0%	0%	0%	1%	4%
This development is a waste of tax payers' money	0	1	1	6	30
	0%	0%	1%	3%	3%
Information needs to be presented in full and by both sides before the public decides	1	1	2	2	6
	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Those making this decision do not live in Nuneaton, so they won't have to live with the consequences	0	0	0	0	4
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Generally positive comments</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>
General positive comment, e.g. 'I think this is what Nuneaton needs', 'Go for it' etc	109	15	1	0	4
	11%	5%	1%	0%	0%
This development is needed to regenerate / modernise the town	90	11	3	1	0
	9%	4%	2%	0%	0%
Criticism of those opposing the development	12	0	0	0	0
	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Support or oppose				
	Strongly support	Support	Neither support or oppose	Oppose	Strongly oppose
<b>NET: Negative effects on the town's facilities</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>91</b>
Negative effect on library service, e.g. fear over it's future	2	8	8	12	88
	0%	3%	5%	5%	8%
The development will effect St Nicholas Parish Church detrimentally	2	1	0	1	3
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Generally negative comments</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>86</b>
General negative comment, e.g. 'Not for it', 'This is a stupid idea' etc	1	2	0	10	80
	0%	1%	0%	4%	7%
Feel that the current set up is OK/works well as it is	0	1	3	6	8
	0%	0%	2%	3%	1%
<b>NET: Town centre distribution and overcrowding</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>74</b>
This development would inconvenience shoppers in the town / may lead to people	0	1	0	7	44
	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%
This development would lead to an overcrowding of the town centre	1	0	1	4	24
	0%	0%	1%	2%	2%
Chaos / disruption in the town centre, generally	0	2	0	2	10
	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
<b>NET: Crime and anti-social behaviour</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>57</b>
This development would lead to an increase of anti-social behaviour / unwanted 'gang' behaviour / intimidating students	1	1	2	11	53
	0%	0%	1%	5%	5%
This development would lead to an increase in litter	1	0	1	1	2
	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Concern that merging the two will cause trouble between the two groups of students	0	0	0	0	3
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Negative effects on the local environment</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>46</b>

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Support or oppose				
	Strongly support	Support	Neither support or oppose	Oppose	Strongly oppose
This development would have a negative effect on the 'market town' image of the area / no advantage for the town	1	3	1	3	31
	0%	1%	1%	1%	3%
The planned development would have a negative effect on 'green spaces'	0	1	1	1	10
	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Concern over what will happen to existing college buildings/sites	2	0	2	1	5
	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Feel that the 'modern building' argument is a bit of a red herring, e.g. would you knock down Oxford's colleges?	0	0	0	1	1
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Few or no benefits for business</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>53</b>
This development would inconvenience shoppers in the town / may lead to people	0	1	0	7	44
	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%
Only pubs and fast food outlets, which are tempting to otherwise low spending students, will benefit	0	0	1	1	6
	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Feel that the argument that the development will generate business is a bit of a red herring	0	0	0	0	5
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Negative effects on or concerns about education in Nuneaton</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>27</b>
Concerned about a loss of quality education should King Edward's be merged	1	0	1	4	8
	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%
Feel that a site in town would be too small/restrictive/unable to grow should it need to	1	2	0	1	10
	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Would like some say on the subjects taught, to whom and when	3	4	0	5	1
	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%
Feel that this scheme will damage education on offer in Nuneaton	1	1	0	2	4
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Personally think that other schools and colleges in the area are better/worth additional investment	1	0	0	3	4
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
The answer to this is simply to limit entry to local colleges	0	0	0	2	1
	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%

**Q4. Any further comments you wish to make**

**Base: All Respondents**

	Support or oppose				
	Strongly support	Support	Neither support or oppose	Oppose	Strongly oppose
<b>NET: Improvements in education in Nuneaton</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Feel that this scheme will improve education on offer in Nuneaton	17	5	0	0	0
	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%
In favour of a single, central college, generally	6	7	0	0	1
	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%
King Edward's will survive/continue to provide high quality education	5	5	0	0	0
	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%
This development would reduce running costs, making better use of the resources of the two colleges	0	1	1	0	1
	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
<b>NET: Other one off comments, don't knows, invalid comments etc</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>44</b>
Other	23	8	7	5	22
	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%
None / no issues	38	18	14	9	12
	4%	6%	9%	4%	1%
Don't know /Cannot think of any issues	0	0	2	0	1
	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Response not applicable / invalid	10	4	4	0	9
	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%
<b>NET: Not Stated</b>	<b>652</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>277</b>