

## Waste Core Strategy: Preferred Options Questionnaire

Please note that representations form part of the evidence base for the Waste Core Strategy and will be made publicly available once submitted. We are unable to accept anonymous representations.

### ----- VISION STATEMENT -----

Page 6

1) Do you agree with this vision statement?

Yes                       No                       Unsure

2) If no, what amendments would you make to the Waste Development Framework vision statement?

---

---

---

---

### ----- KEY OBJECTIVES -----

Page 6

3) Do you agree with the key objectives as set out in the Preferred Options and Proposals paper?

Yes                       No                       Unsure

4) If no, what additional objectives should be included to guide the Preferred Options and Proposals paper?

---

---

---

---

### ----- PREFERRED OPTIONS -----

#### Key Issue 1: Delivering Sustainable Waste Management Practices

Page 9

5a) Do you support the preferred option approach to:

*Pursue a site selection approach that fully integrates other planning policy considerations including transport, protection of human health, protection of the environment and a desire to secure sustainable economic prosperity. This approach would aim to deliver sites based on a quantified need linked to geography and waste production.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

5b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

---

**Key Issue 2: Municipal Waste Management Practices**

Page 11

6a) Do you support a preferred option approach:

*Whereby a quantitative approach based on the waste hierarchy, the principles of proximity and self-sufficiency, and the sub-regional need for municipal waste strategies is used to determine the location and mix of municipal waste treatment facilities.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

6b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

---

**Key Issue 3: Industrial and Commercial Waste Management Practices**

Page 12

7a) Do you support a preferred option whereby:

*Approaches aimed at delivering the waste hierarchy and the principles of proximity and self-sufficiency in order to meet the sub-regional need, would limit the amount of industrial and commercial waste that is sent to landfill.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

7b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

---

## Key Issues 4: Construction and Demolition Waste Management Practices

Page 13

8a) Do you support a preferred option whereby:

*Approaches aimed at delivering the waste hierarchy and the principles of proximity and self-sufficiency would limit the amount of waste sent to landfill and developers would be encouraged to re-use construction and demolition wastes in new build where practicable.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

8b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

---

## Key Issue 5: Hazardous Waste Management Practices

Page 15

9a) Do you support:

*A quantitative and geographic approach taking into account the principles of proximity and self-sufficiency to establish the type of facility and general location for hazardous waste facilities.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

9b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

---

## Key Issue 6: Waste Management Treatment and Disposal Options

Page 16

10a) Do you support:

*Policy focussed on a wide range of alternative technologies, the choice of which will need to be developed in accordance with the technology hierarchy (**Figure 1** in the Preferred Options and Proposals paper) – which in turn reflects the principles of the waste hierarchy.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

10b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

---

**Key Issue 7: Waste Management Location Options**

Page 20

11a) Do you support:

*Policy developed to focus new waste management facilities normally within (but not necessarily limited to) urban locations in order to contribute to sustainable waste management practices.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

11b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

---

**Key Issue 8: Scale of Waste Management Facilities**

Page 21

12a) Do you support:

*Policy developed to focus on, as appropriate, centralised facilities supported by smaller facilities dispersed across the sub-region, which in combination will deal with all waste types in accordance with the waste hierarchy and the principles of proximity and self-sufficiency.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

12b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

---

**Key Issue 9: Utilisation of Existing and New Sites**

Page 22

13a) Do you support:

*Policy focussed primarily on sites where waste management activities would be compatible with the pattern of current or previous land uses (e.g. existing waste management facilities, industrial, contaminated or vacant land) and/or where sites are specifically allocated for this purpose in the Site Specific Allocations Development Plan Document.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

13b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

**Key Issue 10: Protection of Environmental Resources**

Page 24

14a) Do you support the preferred option to:

*Deliver policy that seeks to provide protection for sites of international importance for natural, historic and environmental resources and sites with nationally recognised designations. Due consideration will also be given to sites of local significance without prejudicing an otherwise sustainable waste management development opportunity.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

14b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

**Key Issue 11: Transport Infrastructure**

Page 25

15a) Do you support the preferred option to:

*Deliver policy aimed at a sustainable mix of transport strategies to be incorporated within site selection (allocation) policies, by giving due consideration to the proximity of waste management facilities to the source of waste, in an attempt to minimise traffic impacts.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

15b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

---

**Key Issue 12: Site Decommissioning and Restoration**

Page 26

16a) Do you support:

*Policy to deliver a strategic approach to restoration in adherence to core principles for the protection and enhancement of the natural, semi-natural and built environment.*

Yes                       No                       Unsure

16b) Reasons for not supporting this approach.

---

---

---

---

**----- POLICY PRINCIPLES -----**

**Policy Principle 1: General Principles**

Page 29

17a) Do you agree with our requirements for demonstrating adherence to the principles of the waste hierarchy and sustainable development?

Yes                       No                       Unsure

17b) Reasons for answer.

---

---

---

---

18a) Is the policy principle suitable for setting the location criteria of facilities?

Yes                       No                       Unsure

18b) Reasons for answer.

---

---

---

---

19a) Do you agree with our definition of compatible land uses?

Yes                       No                       Unsure

19b) Reasons for answer.

---

---

---

19c) Are there any other compatible land uses that would be suitable for locating waste management facilities?

---

---

---

20a) Is the policy principle suitable for providing guidance on protecting amenity?

Yes                       No                       Unsure

20b) Reasons for answer.

---

---

---

21a) Is the policy principle suitable for providing guidance on protecting the environment?

Yes                       No                       Unsure

21b) Reasons for answer.

---

---

---

22a) Following Government's national guidance on Renewable Energy there is a requirement for planning authorities to, "include policies in their development plans that require a percentage of the energy in new developments to come from on-site renewables, where it is viable."\* Would such a policy be appropriate for developing waste management facilities?

Yes                       No                       Unsure

---

\* Written Ministerial Statements, The Minister for Housing and Planning Yvette Cooper (8<sup>th</sup> June 2006)

22b) What type(s) of waste management facility(ies) would be more suited to on-site renewables?

---

---

---

---

22c) What percentage would be appropriate for sites where the inclusion of on-site renewables would be viable?

---

---

---

---

23) Do you have any further comments on Policy Principle 1?

---

---

---

---

**Policy Principle 2: Alternative Criteria as Additional Considerations to Policy Principle 1**

Page 32

24a) Is the policy principle suitable for setting additional technology-specific criteria?

- Yes                       No                       Unsure

24b) Reasons for answer.

---

---

---

---

25) Do you have any further comments on Policy Principle 2?

---

---

---

---

**Policy Principle 3: Planning Conditions and Agreements**

Page 33

26a) Is the policy principle suitable for setting out the scope of conditions and agreements?

- Yes                       No                       Unsure

26b) Reasons for answer.

---

---

---

27) Do you have any further comments on Policy Principle 3?

---

---

---

**Policy Principle 4: Disposing Residual Waste to Land**

Page 34

28a) Is the policy principle suitable for setting criteria for permitting disposal of residual waste to land operations?

- Yes                       No                       Unsure

28b) Reasons for answer.

---

---

---

29) Do you have any further comments on Policy Principle 4?

---

---

---

**Policy Principle 5: Waste Generation by New Development**

Page 35

30a) Is the policy principle suitable for providing guidance on dealing with waste generation by new development?

Yes                       No                       Unsure

30b) Reasons for answer.

---

---

---

31) Do you have any further comments on Policy Principle 5?

---

---

---

**Consultation Process**

32) Do you have any comments about the consultation process? Any comments made here will help us to monitor our consultation processes and will be considered as part of the Annual Monitoring Report.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

33) Would you like to receive information about any future public consultation workshops?

Yes                       No

34) Any other comments you wish to make?

---

---

---

---

---

---

35) Your contact details

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Address:** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Postcode:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Telephone:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Email:** \_\_\_\_\_

36) Do you wish to be notified that the Core Strategy has been submitted to the Secretary of State for Examination or has been adopted?

Yes

No

**All responses to the following questions will be treated in confidence and used for diversity monitoring purposes only.**

37) Are you

**Male**

**Female**

38) Please tick the appropriate age band

**Under 18**

**18 – 29**

**30 – 44**

**45 – 59**

**Over 60**

39) Do you have a disability as defined within the Disability Discrimination Act 1995?

**Yes**

**No**

40) What is your ethnic group?

**White**

**Mixed**

**Asian/Asian British**

**Black/Black British**

**Chinese**

**Other**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Contact us...**

**Planning Policy  
Warwickshire County Council  
P.O. Box 43  
Shire Hall  
Warwick  
CV34 4SX**

**Email...**

[planningstrategy@warwickshire.gov.uk](mailto:planningstrategy@warwickshire.gov.uk)

**Telephone...**

**01926 412061**

**Website...**

[www.warwickshire.gov.uk/wastecorestrategy](http://www.warwickshire.gov.uk/wastecorestrategy)