

# Waste Forum

Brandon Marsh Nature Centre

13<sup>th</sup> December 2007

# Synopsis

- ❖ Current position and revised MWDS
- ❖ Spatial Options
- ❖ Strategic Sites
- ❖ Renewable Energy

# Where have we got to?

❖ Preferred Options – consultation August 2006

❖ Submission – should have been January 2007

# What has delayed us?

- ❖ Need for an SFRA
- ❖ PINs advice on Shropshire and Worcestershire CC's submission documents
- ❖ Emerging CLG advice on strategic sites

# What happens next?

- ❖ Revised MWDS – November 2007
- ❖ Stakeholder engagement – December 2007  
– May 2008
- ❖ Spatial Options – June 2008
- ❖ Preferred Options – December 2008
- ❖ Submission – June 2009
- ❖ Examination – December 2009
- ❖ Adoption – July 2010

# BUT...

- ❖ Implications of Planning Bill?
- ❖ Streamlining LDF's consultation – changes to PPS12?

# Spatial Options

# Spatial Options

## Option 1

Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within the waste core strategy development corridors.

# Spatial Options

## Option 2

Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within and/or in close proximity to the waste core strategy development corridors.

# Spatial Options

## Option 3

Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within and/or in close proximity to the key settlements of Atherstone, Coleshill, Nuneaton/Bedworth, Rugby, Kennilworth, Warwick/Leamington Spa and Stratford-upon-Avon.

# Spatial Options

## Option 4

Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities (excluding those that process scrap metal only) within and/or in close proximity to the key settlements of Atherstone, Coleshill, Nuneaton/Bedworth, Rugby, Kennilworth, Warwick/Leamington Spa and Stratford-upon-Avon.

# Spatial Options

## Option 5

Develop new facilities County wide on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities.

# Spatial Options

## Option 6

Develop new facilities County wide on existing waste management facilities.

# Spatial Options

## Option 7

Develop new facilities County wide on existing waste management facilities excluding those that process scrap metal only.

# Spatial Options

Option 8 etc

?

# Breakout Session

# Strategic Sites


- ❖ Sites handling  $>100,000$  tonnes/annum
- ❖ Sites handling  $>100,000$  tonnes/annum but must be receiving wastes from a wider catchment than Warwickshire
- ❖ Sites handling  $>50,000$  tonnes/annum
- ❖ Sites handling  $>50,000$  tonnes/annum but must be receiving wastes from a wider catchment than Warwickshire
- ❖ Any other options?

# Group Discussion

# Renewable Energy and the Merton Rule

# Environment and Economy

## WASTE PROCESSES



# Group Discussion