

TABLE OF EXISTING STRUCTURE PLAN POLICIES AND CONSIDERATION OF WHETHER ANY POLICIES SHOULD BE SAVED BEYOND THE THREE YEAR PERIOD (SEPTEMBER 2007)

WARWICKSHIRE STRUCTURE PLAN 1996 – 2011

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If “YES” state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If “YES” what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If “NO” reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
	General Development Strategy					
GD1	Overriding purpose.	No				As it is unlikely that it will be replicated in the RSS, Districts will need to include the intent of this policy in their core strategies.
GD2	Regional and National Role	No				National and regional policy has moved on.
GD3	Overall development strategy	No				No longer consistent with RSS development strategy and Policies RR3, UR2 and CF2.

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
GD4	Strategic constraints	No				Strategic constraints are covered in national policy
GD5	Development location priorities	No				The policy is no longer consistent with RSS development strategy and Policies RR3, UR2 and CF2.
GD6	Green Belt	No				Detailed Green Belt boundaries are established in Local Plans and there is strong National Policy in PPG2 to retain them.
GD7	Previously developed sites	Yes	(b) the saved policy would address an existing policy deficit. The RSS does not contain a policy as detailed as GD7, and no additional help is derived from PPS3.	The policy provides Districts with a policy basis for assessing the merits of large-scale brownfield sites outside of the main urban areas.	Request that the WMRA look at it and include in it in the Phase 3 review, which once adopted will replace the saved Policy.	

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
	Rural Policies					
RA1	Development in rural areas	No				Policy is superseded by RSS Policy RR1
RA2	Scale and distribution of new housing and industrial development	No				Policy is superseded by RSS Policy RR1.
RA3	Housing and Industrial development and hierarchy of settlements in rural areas.	No				The Local Plans set out a settlement hierarchy outside of the main towns which fulfils the intent of this policy.
RA4	Conversion of existing rural buildings	No				PPS7 updates this policy.

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
	Housing Policies					
H1	Provision of Housing Land	Yes	(b) the saved policy would address an existing policy deficit in terms of District housing figures whilst the RSS is being reviewed		The adopted Warwick District Local Plan.	In the case of Warwick District Council who are yet to adopt their Local Plan, this policy provides structure plan proportions which are referred to in Keith Hill's letter advising how to take housing figures forward in the absence of figures post 2011. Further, whilst it is recognised that District figures are included in the RSS Spatial Options Consultation Review, the Structure Plan proportions are different and are needed until the local plan is adopted.
H2	Affordable housing	No				RSS Policy CF5 and local needs assessments have superseded this policy.

H3	Greenfield land for housing	No				National Policy in PPS3 covers this policy intent.
----	-----------------------------	----	--	--	--	--

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
H4	Release of housing land	No				The policy is inconsistent with the RSS
H5	Housing provision in Stratford-on-Avon District	No				Stratford Local Plan has superseded this policy.
	Industrial Policies					
I1	The need for industrial land	No				Covered by Local Plans, but noted that there is a policy void, particularly in relation to RIS, and employment outside of the portfolio of employment sites.

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
12	Industrial Land provision	Yes	(b) the saved policy would address a policy deficit in terms of District employment figures whilst the RSS is being reviewed		The RSS Phase 2 Review will provide District figures once adopted.	Whilst the Local Plans include employment figures, these originate from the Structure Plan. The loss of this policy, would lead to a strategic policy –vacuum in the short term, making it difficult for the Districts to defend their position when they have sufficient employment provision until 2011.
13	Small investment sites	No				Policy 12 is not proposed to be saved, so this policy cannot be saved in isolation.

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
14	Industrial land portfolio	No				Policy I2 is not proposed to be saved, so this policy cannot be saved in isolation. Also, it is superseded by RSS and Local Plans
15	Development of previously-developed land	No				Policy I4 is not proposed to be saved, so this policy cannot be saved in isolation
16	Major Investment Site	No				Provision for an MIS is made in the Rugby Borough Local Plan and is referred to in RSS policy PA8.
17	Existing business expansion needs	No				The policy has been carried through to the Local Plans.
18	Tourism, leisure and recreation	No				The policy is superseded by RSS Policies PA10 and PA14

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
	Transport Policies					
T1	Transport objectives	No				The Warwickshire Local Transport Plan (LTP) and Local Plans cover these objectives.
T2	Transport Targets	No				The targets in this policy are superseded by Local Plans and the LTP.
T3	Transport Corridors	No				Transport corridors have been superseded by Local Plans and are covered by RSS policy T12
T4	The Impact of development on the transport system	No				The policy has been carried through to the Local Plans.
T5	Influencing transport choice	No				The policy has been superseded by Local Plans
T6	Traffic management	No				The policy is covered by the LTP, the RSS and national policy.

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
T7	Public Transport	Yes	(b) the saved policy would address an existing policy deficit until the Warwick District Local Plan is adopted.		Policy SSP5 in the Warwick District Local Plan, which relates specifically to safeguarding land for Warwick and Leamington Spa Park and Ride.	
T8	New Roads	No				The proposals in this policy have been included in the LTP and Local Plans.
T9	Balancing developments with transport capacity	No				RSS policy T2 covers the intent of this policy.

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
T10	Developer contributions	Yes	(b) the saved policy would address an existing policy deficit in terms of the mechanism for the County Council requesting developer contributions.		The County Council and District Councils will need to work jointly on providing additional guidance through SPD, which once adopted will replace this policy.	
T11	Rail freight facilities	No				RSS policy T10 is not as strong as this policy, but covers its intent
T12	Air Travel	No				Criterion (a) and (b) covered by the RSS policy T11. However, as it is not possible to just save criterion(c) and (d) – the intent of these need to included in District core strategies.
T13	Motorway Service Areas	No				MSA'a are covered by DTp circular 1/94.

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
	Town Centre Policies					
TC1	Town Centre Uses	No				The intent of this policy is covered by PPS6 and the RSS.
TC2	Hierarchy of Town Centres	Yes	The policy intent is not covered by RSS Policy PA11, so (b) the saved policy would address an existing policy deficit.	Not all Districts have included a hierarchy in the Local Plans, and without this policy, the policy basis for inclusion of one in their core strategy will be lost.	Those Districts that have not included a hierarchy of town centres in their Local Plans, will need to include it in their Core Strategies.	
TC3	Personal services sector	No				The intent of this policy is covered by PPS6
TC4	Town Centre Management	No				Although not as detailed the intent of this policy is covered by RSS Policy PA12. It is also covered by Local Plans.
TC5	Out-of-centre retail development	No				PPS6 supersedes this policy

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
	Environmental Resource Policies					
ER1	Natural and cultural environmental assets	No				RSS Policies QE1, QE2, QE5 and QE6 supersede this policy
ER2	Environmental impact of development	No				Local Plans supersede this policy.
ER3	Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty	No				PPS7 supersedes this policy.
ER4	Protection and enhancement of the landscape	No				RSS policy QE6 supersedes this policy.
ER5	Positive environmental enhancement	No				RSS Policy QE1 supersedes this policy.
ER6	Protection of Open Space	No				PPG17 supersedes this policy
ER7	Informal recreational facilities	No				PPG17 supersedes this policy

Policy Number	Policy Name (and purpose)	Request to save Policy beyond Sept 2007 Yes/No	If "YES" state how the Policy meets one or more of the four criteria* in para 5.11 of PPS12.	Other reasons why the Policy should be retained	If "YES" what will replace the saved Policy after Sept 2007.	If "NO" reason why Policy is not requested to be saved.
ER8	Minerals Local Plan	No				A similar exercise being undertaken to save Minerals Local Plan policies beyond September 2007.
ER9	Waste Local Plan	No				A similar exercise being undertaken to save Waste Local Plan policies beyond September 2007.

* The Secretary of State who will consider whether to direct that these policies should be saved for a longer period in accordance with following criteria:

- i. the saved policies are consistent with national planning policies appearing in White Papers and Planning Policy Statements that have been published since the policies were adopted and are in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy;
- ii. the saved policies address an existing strategic policy deficit and do not duplicate national or local policy;
- iii. the operation of policies to be saved for longer than three years is not materially changed by virtue of other policies in the old plan not being saved; and
- iv. even where policies are non-compliant with one or more of the above, the Secretary of State considers that it is appropriate for the policies to be saved for.